

1 Russell Kornblith (CSB No. 289329)  
2 rkornblith@sanfordheisler.com  
3 Attorney for Plaintiff Emma Adams  
4 SANFORD HEISLER SHARP MCKNIGHT, LLP  
5 17 State Street, Suite 3700  
6 New York, NY 10004  
7 Telephone: 646-402-5646  
8 Facsimile: 646-402-5651

9 Kevin Sharp\*  
10 ksharp@sanfordheisler.com  
11 Brent Hannafan\*  
12 bhannafan@sanfordheisler.com  
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Emma Adams  
14 SANFORD HEISLER SHARP MCKNIGHT, LLP  
15 611 Commerce Street, Suite 3100  
16 Nashville, TN 37203  
17 Telephone: 615-434-7001  
18 Telephone: 615-434-7016  
19 Facsimile: 615-434-7020  
20 \*admitted *pro hac vice*

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
22 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23 EMMA ADAMS,

24 Case No.: 2:23-CV-02498-SB-JPR

25 Plaintiff,

26 **UNOPPOSED EX PARTE  
27 APPLICATION TO SHORTEN  
28 TIME TO HEAR THE MOTION  
29 TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF  
30 RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF**

31 vs.

32 AQUA 388 COMMUNITY  
33 ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,

34 Defendants.

35 Assigned to the Hon. Stanley  
36 Blumenfeld, Jr., United States District  
37 Judge

38 Complaint filed: April 3, 2023  
39 Trial Date: December 16, 2024

40 Courtroom: 6C

**EX PARTE APPLICATION**

Plaintiff's counsel Russell Kornblith, Brent Hannafan, and Kevin Sharp, hereby make this unopposed *ex parte* application for an order shortening time on the motion to withdraw as counsel of record for Plaintiff, filed concurrently herewith. According to the Court's website, the next available hearing date is not until September 12, 2025, or later. Plaintiff's counsel seeks an order setting the motion for hearing at 8:30am on August 29, 2025, or as soon as the Court has availability.

Good cause exists for this application. This application is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Russell Kornblith filed concurrently herewith, all pleadings and records on file in this action, and on such further authority, evidence, or argument as may be presented at or before the time of any hearing on this application.

Defendant Aqua 388 Community Association and Aqua Maintenance Corporation are represented by Stephen Abraham, 1592 Pegasus St., Newport Beach, CA 92660; telephone: (949) 878-8608; email: [stephen@abraham-lawoffices.com](mailto:stephen@abraham-lawoffices.com). Defendant Aqua Maintenance Corporation is additionally represented by Melissa T. Daugherty of the law firm O'Hagan Meyer, LLP, 550 S. Hope St., Ste. 2400, Los Angeles, CA 90071; telephone: (213) 647-0060; email: [mdaugherty@ohaganmeyer.com](mailto:mdaugherty@ohaganmeyer.com). Defendants FirstService Residential California,

1 LLC and Rebecca Hawkins are represented by Roger B. Frederickson of the law  
2 firm Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP, 101 W. Broadway, Ste. 2000, San  
3 Diego, CA 92101; telephone: (619) 230-7477; email: [r frederickson@grsm.com](mailto:r frederickson@grsm.com).  
4 Defendant Christopher Harrington is represented by Brett G. Hampton of the law  
5 firm Koletsky Mancini Feldman LLP, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., 8<sup>th</sup> Fl., Los Angeles,  
6 CA 90010; telephone: (213) 427-2350; email: [bhampton@kmfm.com](mailto:bhampton@kmfm.com).

7 On August 13, 2025, Plaintiff's counsel provided notice to Defendants of  
8 their intent to file the instant Application. The parties met and conferred via  
9 telephone on August 13, and on Microsoft Teams on August 14, 2025, and  
10 Defendants indicated that they do not oppose the Application. Kornblith Decl. ¶ 3.

12 DATED: August 15, 2025 Respectfully submitted,  
13 Sanford Heisler Sharp McKnight, LLP  
14 By: /s/ Russell Kornblith  
15 Russell Kornblith  
Brent Hannafan\*  
Kevin Sharp\*  
16 \*admitted *pro hac vice*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Counsel for Plaintiff Dr. Emma Adams—Russell Kornblith, Kevin Sharp, and Brent Hannafan—apply *ex parte* for an order shortening the time for a hearing to be relieved as counsel. The next available hearing date for a regularly scheduled motion does not appear to be until September 12, 2025—seven days after Plaintiff is required to provide notice of whether she intends to accept the remittitur or proceed to a new trial. *See* ECF No. 400 at 30. Counsel therefore make the instant *ex parte* application to shorten time on the hearing of the withdrawal motion to avoid or at least minimize any prejudice to Plaintiff that may arise from her having to decide whether to accept the remittitur while the withdrawal motion remains pending.

## II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

As more fully set forth in their motion to withdraw as counsel, Plaintiff's counsel seek leave of Court to withdraw as counsel in this matter under California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(1), 1.16(b)(4), and 1.16(b)(10) because of the irreparable breakdown in the attorney-client relationship.

### III. ARGUMENT

To justify *ex parte* relief, the moving party “must establish (1) ‘that [their] cause will be irreparably prejudiced if the underlying motion is heard according to regular noticed motion procedures’ and (2) that the crisis requiring *ex parte* relief

did not occur by any fault of their own (except excusable neglect).” *Welter v. Hurt*, No. 2:23-cv-02627-SPG-MAR, 2024 WL 5317266, at \*2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2024) (quoting *Mission Power Eng’g Co. v. Cont’l Cas. Co.*, 883 F. Supp. 488, 492 (C.D. Cal. 1995)). See also Local Rule 37-3. Plaintiff’s counsel submits that the *Mission Power* requirements have been met. See Russell Kornblith Declaration in Support of Motion to Withdraw; Kevin Sharp Declaration in Support of Motion to Withdraw; Brent Hannafan Declaration in Support of Motion to Withdraw. If the Court requires additional information to evaluate the issues raised by counsel’s motion, counsel requests leave of Court to file a declaration for *in camera* review.

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's counsel respectfully requests that the hearing on their withdrawal motion be set for as soon as possible.

Sanford Heisler Sharp McKnight, LLP

By: /s/ Russell Kornblith

## Russell Kornblith

## Brent Hannafan

Kevin Sharp\*

\*admitted *pro hac vice*